CHAPTER 1: PARTICLE STATES IN A CENTRAL POTENTIAL

1. Schrödinger Equation for a Central Potential

Any of one component of angular momentum $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{p} \equiv -i\hbar\mathbf{x} \times \nabla$ commutes with the Hamiltonian \mathbf{H} . \mathbf{L}^2 also commutes with \mathbf{H} .

In polar coordinates,

$$L_{1} = i\hbar \left(\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right)$$

$$L_{2} = i\hbar \left(-\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right)$$

$$L_{3} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$$
(1)

What does this have to do with the Schrödinger equation?

$$\mathbf{L}^{2} = -\hbar^{2} \left[r^{2} \nabla^{2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right]$$
 (2)

or in other words:

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\mathbf{L}^2}{\hbar^2 r^2} \tag{3}$$

Then Schrödinger equation takes the form:

$$E\psi(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{2\mu r^2} \mathbf{L}^2 \psi(\mathbf{x}) + V(r)\psi(\mathbf{x})$$
(4)

As long as V(r) is not extremely singular at r=0, the wave function can be expressed as a power series in the Cartesian components.

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \to r^l Y(\theta, \phi)$$
 (5)

then,

$$\mathbf{L}^{2}\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \hbar^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial r} \right) + 2\mu r^{2} \left[E - V(r) \right] \psi(\mathbf{x})$$
 (6)

In the limit $r \to 0$, as long as the potential is less singular than $1/r^2$, the second term on the right side vanishes as $r \to 0$ more rapidly than ψ , so ψ satisfy the eigenvalue equation

$$\mathbf{L}^2\psi(\mathbf{x}) \to \hbar^2 l(l+1)\psi$$
 (7)

Hence, the eigenvalue of \hat{H} can only be $\hbar^2 l(l+1)$.

Since \mathbf{L}^2 acts only on angles, such

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = R(r)Y(\theta, \phi) \tag{8}$$

where R(r) is a function of r satisfying

$$R(r) \propto r^l \quad \text{for} \quad r \to 0$$
 (9)

and $Y(\theta,\phi)$ is a function of θ and ϕ satisfying

$$\mathbf{L}^2 Y = \hbar^2 l(l+1)Y \tag{10}$$

If we also require ψ to be an eigenfunction of L_3 with eigenvalue denoted $\hbar m$

then

$$L_3Y = \hbar mY \tag{11}$$

Equation 1.3 shows that $Y(\theta,\phi)$ must then have a ϕ -dependence

$$Y(\theta, \phi) = e^{im\phi} \times \text{function of} \quad \theta \tag{12}$$

The condition that $Y(\theta,\phi)$ must have the same value at $\phi=0$ and $\phi=2\pi$ requires then m be an integer.

2. Spherical Harmonics

The angular part of the wave function will therefore be labeled with l and m_l as $Y_l^m(\theta,\phi)$.

Use Eq.3 and act on $r^lY_l^m$, and according to Eq.10,

$$\nabla^2 \left(r^l Y_l^m \right) = 0 \tag{13}$$

Finally, recall that $r^lY_l^m(\theta,\phi)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of order l in the Cartesian components of the coordinate vector \mathbf{x} . Equivalently, it can be written as a homogeneous polynomial of order l in

$$x_{\pm} \equiv x_1 \pm i x_2 = r \sin \theta e^{\pm i \phi} \quad ext{and} \quad x_3 = r \cos \theta$$
 (14)

Thus Eq.11 tells us that Y_l^m must contain numbers u_\pm of factors of x_\pm such that

$$m = \nu_+ - \nu_- \tag{15}$$

Since the total number of factors of x_+ , x_- and x_3 is l, the index m is a positive or negative integer, with a maximum value l and a minimum value -l.

Whether Y_l^m is uniquely determined by the values of l and m ?

For a given l , m takes 2l+1 values. And we have

$$N_l = \sum_{\nu_{\perp}=0}^{l} \sum_{\nu_{\perp}=0}^{l-\nu_{+}} 1 = \frac{1}{2}(l+1)(l+2)$$
 (16)

$$N_l - N_{l-2} = 2l + 1 (17)$$

Thus, there is only one independent polynomial for each l and m.

These functions, denoted $Y_l^m(\theta,\phi)$, with $-l \leq m \leq +l$, are known as spherical harmonics.

$$Y_l^m(\theta,\phi) \propto P_l^{|m|}(\theta)e^{im\phi} \tag{18}$$

For $l \leq 2$,

$$Y_{0}^{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}}$$

$$Y_{1}^{1} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}(\hat{x}_{1} + i\hat{x}_{2}) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}\sin\theta e^{i\phi}$$

$$Y_{1}^{0} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}}\hat{x}_{3} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}}\cos\theta$$

$$Y_{1}^{-1} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}(\hat{x}_{1} - i\hat{x}_{2}) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}\sin\theta e^{-i\phi}$$
(19)

We also note the space-inversion (or "parity") property of the wave function.

Under the transformation $\hat{x} \to -\hat{x}$, the spherical harmonics change by just a sign factor $(-1)^l$:

$$Y_l^m(\pi - \theta, \pi + \phi) = (-1)^l Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$$
 (20)

3. The Hydrogen Atom

Since we have Eq.8, $\psi(\mathbf{x})=R(r)Y(\theta,\phi)$, and associate it with Eq.10, $\mathbf{L}^2Y=\hbar^2l(l+1)Y$

we can get Schrödinger equation;

$$E\,R(r) = -rac{\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2}rac{{
m d}}{{
m d}r}igg(r^2rac{{
m d}R(r)}{{
m d}r}igg) + rac{\hbar^2l(l+1)}{2\mu r^2}R(r) + V(r)R(r)$$
 (21)

The equation above can be made to look more like the Schrödinger equation in one dimension by defining a new radial wave function

$$u(r) \equiv rR(r) \tag{22}$$

Then Eq.20 takes the form

$$-rac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}rac{{
m d}^2 u(r)}{{
m d} r^2} + \left[V(r) + rac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2}
ight]r(r) = E \ u(r)$$
 (23)

Consider $V(r) = -Ze^2/r$

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} u(r)}{\mathrm{d}r^{2}} + \left[-\frac{2m_{e}Ze^{2}}{r\hbar^{2}} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}} \right] u(r) = -\kappa^{2} u(r)$$
 (24)

where κ is defined by

$$E = -\frac{\hbar^2 \kappa^2}{2m_e}, \quad \kappa > 0 \tag{25}$$

We can write this in dimensionless form by introducing

$$\rho \equiv \kappa r \tag{26}$$

After dividing by κ^2 , Eq.23 becomes

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u}{\mathrm{d}\rho^2} + \left[-\frac{\xi}{\rho} + \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} \right] u = -u \tag{27}$$

where

$$\xi \equiv \frac{2m_e Z e^2}{\kappa \hbar^2} \tag{28}$$

We must look for a solution that decreases as ρ^{l+1} for $\rho \to 0$, and like $\exp(-\rho)$ for $\rho \to \infty$, so let's replace u with a new function $F(\rho)$, defined by

$$u = \rho^{l+1} \exp(-\rho) F(\rho) \tag{29}$$

The radial wave equation (26) thus becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 F}{\mathrm{d}\rho^2} - 2\left(1 - \frac{l+1}{\rho}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}\rho} + \left(\frac{\xi - 2l - 2}{\rho}\right)F = 0 \tag{30}$$

Let's try a power-series solution

$$F = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_s \rho^s, \tag{31}$$

Then Eq.29 becomes,

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_s \left[s(s-1)\rho^{s-2} - 2s\rho^{s-1} + 2s(l+1)\rho^{s-2} + (\xi - 2l - 2)\rho^{s-1} \right] = 0 \quad (32)$$

After redefining s as s+1,

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \rho^{s-1} \left[s(s+1)a_{s+1} - 2sa_s + 2(s+1)(l+1)a_{s+1} + (\xi - 2l - 2)a_s \right] = 0 \quad (33)$$

Thus

$$(s+2l+2)(s+1)a_{s+1} = (-\xi + 2s + 2l + 2)a_s$$
(34)

Let us consider the asymptotic behavior of this power series for large ρ .

For $s \to \infty$:

$$a_{s+1}/a_s \to 2/s \tag{35}$$

we have

$$a_s \approx C \ 2^s / (s+B)! \tag{36}$$

Thus we expect that asymptotically

$$F(
ho)pprox C\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}rac{(2
ho)^s}{(s+B)!}
ightarrow C(2
ho)^{-B}e^{2
ho} \eqno(37)$$

Aside from constants and powers of ρ , $u \approx \exp(\rho)$, which is <u>inconsistent</u> with Eq.28.

The only way to avoid this is to require that the power series terminates.

$$\xi = 2n \ge l + 1$$
 see right hand of Eq. 33 (38)

Although the wave functions depend on l and m, the energy only depend on n. with $\xi=2n$, Eq.27 gives

$$\kappa_n = \frac{2m_e Z e^2}{\xi \hbar^2} = \frac{1}{na} \tag{39}$$

where a is <u>Bohr radius</u>

$$a = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e Z e^2} = 5.2918 \times 10^{-10} Z^{-1} \text{m}$$
 (40)

Finally,

$$E_n = -\frac{\hbar^2 \kappa_n^2}{2m_e} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e a^2 n^2} = -\frac{m_e Z^2 e^4}{2\hbar^2 n^2} = -\frac{13.6057 Z^2 \text{eV}}{n^2}$$
(41)

For each n we have l values running from 0 to n-1, and for each l we have 2l+1 values of m. The total number of states with energy E_n is

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (2l+1) = n^2 \tag{42}$$

The rate at which a state represented by a wave function ψ decays by single-photon emission into a state represented by a wave function ψ' is proportion to $|\int \psi'^* \mathbf{x} \psi|^2$. If we change the variable of integration from \mathbf{x} to $-\mathbf{x}$, the wave functions ψ and ψ' change by factors $(-1)^l$ and $(-1)^{l'}$, and so the whole integrand changes by a factor

$$(-1)^{l+l'+1} (43)$$

So the signs $(-1)^l$ and $(-1)^{l'}$ must be opposite. Thus 2p orbital can transit to 1s, but 2s can't transit to 1s by only emitting one photon.

4. The two body problem

The two-body problem is equivalent to a one body problem, with the electron mass replaced with a reduced mass:

$$\mu = \frac{m_e m_N}{m_e + m_N} \tag{44}$$

The Hamiltonian for one-electron atom is

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{P}_e^2}{2m_e} + \frac{\mathbf{P}_N^2}{2m_N} + V(\mathbf{x}_e - \mathbf{x}_N)$$

$$\tag{45}$$

We introduce a relative coordinate \boldsymbol{x} and a center-of-mass coordinate \boldsymbol{X} by

$$\mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{x}_e - \mathbf{x}_N, \quad \mathbf{X} \equiv \frac{m_e \mathbf{x}_e + m_N \mathbf{x}_N}{m_e + m_N}$$
 (46)

and a relative momentum \mathbf{p} and a total momentum \mathbf{P} by

$$\mathbf{p} \equiv \mu \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_e}{m_e} - \frac{\mathbf{p}_N}{m_N} \right), \quad \mathbf{P} \equiv \mathbf{p}_e + \mathbf{p}_N$$
 (47)

Then Hamiltonian may be written

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2(m_e + m_N)} + V(\mathbf{x})$$
 (48)

where

$$\mathbf{p} = -i\hbar \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{P} = -i\hbar \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} \tag{49}$$

So the momenta and the coordinates satisfy the commutation relations

$$[x_i, p_j] = [X_i, P_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}, \quad [x_i, P_j] = [X_i, p_j] = 0$$
 (50)

Such a wave function will have the form

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}) = e^{i\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{X}/\hbar} \psi(\mathbf{x}) \tag{51}$$

and $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ is a wave function for an internal energy $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{r}}$ satisfying the one-particle Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla_x^2 \psi(\mathbf{x})}{2\mu} + V(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{E}\psi(\mathbf{x})$$
(52)

The total energy is just the internal energy ${\cal E}$ of the atom, plus the kinetic energy of its overall motion:

$$E = \mathcal{E} + \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2(m_e + m_N)} \tag{53}$$

For hydrogen and deuteron,

$$\mu_{pe} = 0.99945 m_e, \quad \mu_{de} = 0.99973 m_e.$$
 (54)

This tiny difference is enough to produce a detectable split in the frequencies of light emitted from a mixture of ordinary hydrogen and deuterium.

5. The Harmonic Oscillator

Let's consider a particle of mass M in a potential

$$V(r) = \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 r^2 \tag{55}$$

There are four reason it is worth considering

- Historical Reason: This is the problem studied by Heisenberg introducing <u>Matrix Mechanics</u>
- This theory provides a nice illustration of how we can find energy levels and radiative transition amplitudes by algebraic methods, <u>without having to solve second-order</u> <u>differential equations</u>.
- 3. The harmonic potential is used in models of atomic nuclei.
- 4. The methods described here is useful for dealing with the energy levels of electrons in magnetic fields and for calculating the properties of photons.

The Schrödinger equation is here

$$E \psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 \psi + \frac{1}{2} M \omega^2 r^2 \psi \tag{56}$$

we can write this equation in another form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{M\omega^2 x_i^2 \psi}{2} \right) = E \psi \tag{57}$$

This has separable solutions, of the form

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \psi_{n_1}(x_1)\psi_{n_2}(x_2)\psi_{n_3}(x_3) \tag{58}$$

where $\psi_n(x)$ is a solution of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\frac{\partial^2 \psi_n(x)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{M\omega^2 x^2 \psi_n(x)}{2} = E_n \psi_n(x) \tag{59}$$

The energy is the sum.

$$E = E_1 + E_2 + E_3 \tag{60}$$

To solve this problem, we introduce so-called lowering and raising operators

$$a_i \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2M\hbar\omega}} \left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - iM\omega x_i \right)$$
 (61)

$$a_i^{\dagger} \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{2M\hbar\omega}} igg(-i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + iM\omega x_i igg)$$
 (62)

These operators obey the commutation relations

$$\left[a_i, a_j^{\dagger}\right] = \delta_{ij} \tag{63}$$

and

$$[a_i, a_j] = \left[a_i^{\dagger}, a_j^{\dagger} \right] = 0 \tag{64}$$

Also, the one-dimensional Hamiltonian here is

$$H_i \equiv -rac{\hbar^2}{2M}
abla_i^2 + rac{M\omega^2 x_i^2}{2} = \hbar\omega \left[a_i^\dagger a_i + rac{1}{2}
ight]$$
 (65)

Now

$$[H_i,a_i]=-\hbar\omega a_i,\quad [H_i,a_i^\dagger]=+\hbar\omega a_i^\dagger$$
 (66)

Hence if ψ represents a state with energy E, then $a_i\psi$ represents a state with energy $E-\hbar\omega$, and $a_i^\dagger\psi$ represents a state with energy $E+\hbar\omega$.

There must be a wave function $\psi_0(x_i)$ for which $a_i\psi_0=0$; it is

$$\psi_0(x_i) \propto \exp(-M\omega x_i^2/2\hbar)$$
 (67)

And

$$\psi_{n_i}(x_i) \propto a_i^{\dagger n_i} \psi_0(x_i) \propto H_{n_i}(x_i) \exp(-M\omega x_i^2/2\hbar)$$
 (68)

where $H_n(x)$ is a polynomial of order n in x. These polynomials satisfy the parity condition

$$H_n(-x) = (-1)^n H_n(x) (69)$$

The general wave function representing a state of definite energy is therefore

$$\psi_{n_1 n_2 n_3}(\mathbf{x}) \propto a_1^{\dagger n_1} a_2^{\dagger n_2} a_3^{\dagger n_3} \propto H_{n_1}(x_1) H_{n_2}(x_2) H_{n_3}(x_3) \exp(-M\omega r^2/2\hbar)$$
 (70)

and the state has energy

$$E_{n_1 n_2 n_3} = \hbar \omega \left[\sum_i \left(a_i^{\dagger} a_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] = \hbar \omega \left[N + \frac{3}{2} \right]$$
 (71)

where $N = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$.

The degeneracy \mathcal{N}_n

$$\mathcal{N}_n = \sum_{n_1=0}^{N} \sum_{n_2=0}^{N-n_1} 1 = \sum_{n_1=0}^{N} (N - n_1 + 1) = \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{2}$$
 (72)